

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

3 4

5

6

7

8 DISCOUNTS.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE MATTER OF INVESTIGATION INTO OWEST CORPORATION'S COMPLIANCE WITH

JIM IRVIN

MARC SPITZER

CERTAIN WHOLESALE PRICING REOUIREMENTS FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RESALE

**COMMISSIONER** 

**COMMISSIONER** 

BY THE COMMISSION:

**CHAIRMAN** 

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION)

Arizona Comporation Commission 

MAY 9 2002

DOCKETED BY

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

2007 MAY -9 P 3: 45

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-00-0194

PROCEDURAL ORDER

During the Commission's April 11, 2002 Open Meeting, the Commissioners directed that the

record in this matter be reopened, in order to put into evidence the 2000 customer location and line

count by location data.

A Procedural Order was issued on April 19, 2002, which ordered Owest to provide the information by May 1, 2002, and to have the data formatted and run through the HAI model, and to provide such results to the parties and to the Commission as soon as possible after May 1, but no later

16 than May 24, 2002.

> On April 29, 2002, AT&T Communications of the Mountain States and TCG Phoenix (collectively, "AT&T") and WorldCom, Inc., ("WorldCom") filed a Request for Clarification of the April 19, 2002 Procedural Order ("Request"). The Request sought clarification that: Qwest provide the year 2000 customer location and line count information to AT&T and WorldCom, in the format that they have requested, on or before May 1, 2002; either order Qwest or AT&T and WorldCom to provide data to TNS for processing; that the Commission apportion the costs of TNS data processing so that Owest pays one-half of those costs, with AT&T and WorldCom paying the other half; and that the results from TNS be provided to AT&T, WorldCom, Staff, and Qwest, so the parties can run the HAI model jointly or independently.

> On April 30, 2002, a Procedural Conference was held. Qwest had not received the April 29, 2002 Request until shortly before the Procedural Conference, and therefore requested that it be allowed to respond by filing a written response. AT&T also requested the opportunity to respond in

> > 1

writing, and a schedule was established that allowed the parties the time they requested to respond. Qwest stated on the record at the Procedural Conference that it would comply with the May 1, 2002 deadline and provide the required data. Qwest did provide the information on May 1, 2002.

In its May 3, 2002 Response, Qwest stated that it believed that it had and was continuing to comply with the April 19, 2002 Procedural Order. Qwest stated that it first went to TNS to determine whether it could perform the work using the necessary 2000 Bureau of Census data that would match the year of Qwest's customer location data. According to Qwest, "TNS responded that the 2000 Census data it required was not available, meaning that it would have to use pre-2000 data. The TNS representative also stated that TNS would not be able to complete any formatting of Qwest's data until four weeks after receiving the data." Qwest states that "[o]nly when it became apparent that TNS would not be able to perform the necessary work did Qwest contact Stopwatch Maps, a highly qualified vendor with extensive experience preparing data for use in the HAI model." Additionally, Qwest argued that there were significant benefits to allowing Qwest to rely on Stopwatch Maps to prepare the data. Qwest also attached an affidavit from Peter Copeland, Qwest's Director of Service Cost and Economic Analysis.

On May 7, 2002, AT&T and WorldCom filed a Reply to Qwest's Response. AT&T/WorldCom argued that Qwest may not relitigate its objections to TNS; that TNS can and will properly update the customer location data; that AT&T and WorldCom did not waive any objections to Qwest's refusal to use TNS to process the data; and that Qwest should be required to pay half of the costs of using TNS. AT&T/WorldCom attached an affidavit of Charles White, Vice President of TNS. In the affidavit, TNS stated that it will:

process the Qwest Data consistent with the process TNS used to process the prior data and consistent with the Commission's objective to use 2000 data. Specifically, TNS would undertake the following tasks:

- a. incorporate 2000 TIGER files for geocoding;
- b. Geocode the Qwest Data;
- c. Create surrogate locations for any unsuccessful geocodes;
- d. Incorporate Qwest's wire center boundaries;
- e. Cluster customers; and

1

7

131415

12

17 18

16

19 20

21

22

2324

2526

2728

f. Prepare data for HAI model (including mapping of 1990 Census Block Groups to 2000 Census Block Groups in order to utilize previous versions of underlying census data not yet released by US Census Bureau).

According to the affidavit, "TNS can use 2000 Census data to the extent that the data is available. The Census Bureau has released the new Census block definitions, their relationship to the 1990 Census block definitions and the Census 2000 TIGER/Line database of geographic features, including roads and Census block boundaries." Additionally, TNS "will use its best efforts to complete the work by May 24."

Upon review of the arguments and the affidavits submitted, it is clear that TNS is available and capable of processing the 2000 data in the manner and timeframe desired by the Commission. There is no need to have another vendor become involved in the processing of the data, and the Commission did not reopen the record to relitigate the issue of how TNS processed the data for use in the HAI model. Accordingly, the Request is well taken, and Qwest and ATT/WorldCom are directed, if not having already done so, to provide the 2000 customer location data to TNS so that it can process the data using the available 2000 census data in the manner indicated in Mr. White's affidavit in order to run it through the HAI model by May 24, 2002. Further, AT&T/WorldCom shall pay one-half of TNS' data processing costs, and Qwest shall pay one-half of TNS' data processing costs. Finally, AT&T/WorldCom, Staff, Qwest, and any other interested party shall jointly run the HAI model with the new data, and file a joint rate schedule based upon the model results, no later than May 24, 2002. Although AT&T asked for an evidentiary hearing to be set in its Request, it did not reiterate that request in the Reply filed on May 7, 2002. Given the determination in this Procedural Order that only TNS will be processing the data, it is not clear whether an evidentiary hearing will be required. However, at the April 11, 2002 Open Meeting, the Commission recognized that additional discovery or testimony may be necessary. Accordingly, a hearing date will be set that can be used, if necessary. The parties are directed to continue to work together to minimize and resolve disagreements, so that the Commission can be provided the information it requires in a timely manner.

1	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Cox Arizona Telcom, Inc.,	Gre Ma
2	e-spire <sup>TM</sup> Communications, and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.	DA 260
3	Michael Grant GALLAGHER & KENNEDY	150 Sea Att
4	2575 E. Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225	Sta
5	Attorneys for Electric Lightwave, Inc., COVAD Communications, Inc. and New Edge Networks	Ma MP
6	Thomas H. Campbell	571 Litt
7	LEWIS & ROCA 40 N. Central Avenue	Ke
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for Rhythms Links, Inc. and	Dir 580
9	Time Warner Telecom of Arizona, LLC	Sui Sar
10	Thomas F. Dixon, Jr. MCI WorldCom	Joy
11	707 17 <sup>th</sup> Street Denver, Colorado 80202	Uni An
12	Darren S. Weingard	Cit <sub>:</sub> 140
13	Stephen H. Kukta SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO.	Wa
14	1850 Gateway Drive, 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Mateo, California 94404-2467	Lyı AT
15	Scott S. Wakefield	111 Pho
16	RUCO 2828 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200  Rhagniy, Avigana 85004	Chi LE
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85004  Raymond S. Heyman	120 Pho
18	Randall H. Warner ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DeWULF	Ern
19	400 E. Van Buren Street Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	UT 120
20	Jeffrey W. Crockett	Pho
21	Jeffrey B. Guldner SNELL & WILMER	AR 272
22	One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202	Pho
23		
24		
25	By: Kebli Keisn	
26	Debbi Person-	
27	Secretary to Lyn Farmer	

Gregory Kopta
Mary E. Steele
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
2600 Century Square
1501 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101-1688
Attorneys for AT&T Communications of the Mountain
States, Inc.

Marti Allbright
MPOWER COMMUNICATIONS
5711 S. Benton Circle
Littleton, Colorado 80123

Kevin Chapman
Director-Regulatory Relations
5800 Northwest Parkway
Suite 125, Room I-S-20
San Antonio, Texas 78249

Joyce B. Hundley United States Dept of Justice Antitrust Division City Center Building 1401 H Street, NW, Suite 8000 Washington, DC 20530

Lyndon J. Godfrey AT&T 111 W. Monroe, Suite 1201 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel LEGAL DIVISION 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest G. Johnson, Director UTILITIES DIVISION 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE 2726 N. 3<sup>Rd</sup> , Suite Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1003

27

28